1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
9	AI SEAI	ILE
10	ROBERT HAUSMAN, personal representative of DERIK HOWARD,	CASE NO. C23-230 MJP
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING STIPULATED MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL
12	v.	DATE AND RELATED DEADLINES
13	DELTA AIRLINES INC., JOHN	
14	DOES 1-10,	
15	Defendants.	
16		I
17	This matter comes before the Court on the Parties' Stipulated Motion to Continue Trial	
18	Date and Related Deadlines. (Dkt. No. 14.) Having reviewed the Motion and all supporting	
19	deadlines, the Court DENIES the Motion without prejudice.	
20	Rule 16(b)(4) states that "a schedule may be modified only for good cause and with the	
21	judge's consent." Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4). "Rule 16(b)'s 'good cause' standard primarily	
22	considers the diligence of the party seeking the amendment." <u>Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations</u> ,	
23	Inc., 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992). "[T]he focu	us of the inquiry is upon the moving party's
24 l		

reasons for seeking modification" and "[i]f that party was not diligent, the inquiry should end." 2 Id. (citation omitted). The Parties here ask for a new trial date nine months after the existing trial date and a 3 similarly long extension of the interim case deadlines. The Parties argue that they need the new 4 5 trial and related dates because a new personal representative has been appointed for the Estate of 6 Derik Howard and that this has delayed some discovery and made compliance with the expert 7 disclosure deadline of November 13, 2023 "no longer feasible." (Mot. at 1-2.) But the Parties do 8 little to explain why the November deadline is not feasible. And they have not provided good 9 cause or explained why the change in personal representative requires a new trial date or an extension of any other case deadlines. The Court therefore DENIES the Motion without 10 11 prejudice. The Parties may renew their request, but they must provide more detailed and 12 persuasive reasons that demonstrate good cause for the extension of any case deadlines or the trial date. The Parties must also consider and report to the Court on why an extension of a limited 13 14 subset of interim case deadlines without extending the trial date would be inadequate. 15 The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to all counsel. Dated September 1, 2023. 16 Marshy Heling 17 Marsha J. Pechman 18 United States Senior District Judge 19 20 21 22 23 24